

## ANNEX IV

### Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

**Product name:** UBS (Lux) Institutional Fund - Emerging Markets Equity

**Legal entity identifier:** 5493005QQ8DW43WEQN29

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

### Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

☒ ☐ **Yes**

☐ It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** \_\_\_\_%

☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** \_\_\_\_%

☒ ☐ **No**

☐ It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of \_\_\_\_% of sustainable investments

☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐ with a social objective

☒ It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



### To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

From 19 September 2022 onwards, the following characteristics were promoted by the financial product:

- 1) A lower Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) than the reference benchmark or a low absolute carbon profile.
- 2) A sustainability profile that is higher than its benchmark's sustainability profile or a minimum of 51 % of assets invested in companies with a sustainability profile in the top half of the benchmark.

**Sustainability indicators** measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

The extent to which the environmental and/ or social characteristics promoted by this financial product is met is stated in the answer to the question "How did the sustainability indicators perform?" of this annex.

The benchmark is a broad market index which does not assess or include constituents according to environmental and/or social characteristics and therefore is not intended to be consistent with the characteristics promoted by the financial product. No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the characteristics promoted by the financial product.

The sustainability profile of the financial product is measured by its benchmark's profile and the corresponding results are calculated at least once a year from the respective monthly profiles.

### ● ***How did the sustainability indicators perform?***

From 19 September 2022 onwards, characteristic 1:

- The Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) was lower than that of its benchmark.
  - Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) of the financial product: 188.25 tonnes of CO2 per million dollars revenues.
  - Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) of the benchmark: 311.13 tonnes of CO2 per million dollars revenues.
- No low absolute carbon profile, as a low absolute carbon profile is defined as below 100 tonnes of CO2 emissions per million US dollars of revenues.

From 19 September 2022 onwards, characteristic 2:

- The UBS ESG consensus score of the financial product was higher than that of its benchmark.
  - UBS consensus score of the financial product: 5.81
  - UBS consensus score of the benchmark: 5.33
- 86.23% of assets were invested in issuers with a sustainability profile in the top half of the benchmark.

### ● ***...and compared to previous periods?***

Not applicable. This is the first reference period.

### ● ***What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?***

Not applicable.

### ● ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

Not applicable.

— *How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?*

Not applicable.

**Principal adverse impacts** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

— — — Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.

*The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.*

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

*Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.*



### How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Not applicable.



### What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest Investments	Sector	% Net Assets*	Country
Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co Ltd	Electronics & semiconductors	7.35	Taiwan
Samsung Electronics Co Ltd	Electronics & semiconductors	5.32	Republic of Korea
Reliance Industries Ltd	Chemicals	3.98	India
Naspers Ltd	Graphics, publishing, media	3.93	South Africa
Meituan	Internet, software & IT services	3.73	China
HDFC Bank Ltd	Banks & credit institutions	3.58	India
JD.com Inc	Retail trade, department stores	3.12	China
Kweichow Moutai Co Ltd	Tobacco & alcohol	2.98	China
China Mengniu Dairy Co Ltd	Food & soft drinks	2.90	Hong Kong
Bank Central Asia Tbk PT	Banks & credit institutions	2.85	Indonesia
Ping An Insurance Group Co of China Ltd	Insurance companies	2.83	China

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: 31.12.2022

China Merchants Bank Co Ltd	Banks & credit institutions	2.62	China
Anglo American PLC	Non-ferrous metals	2.49	United Kingdom
Hindustan Unilever Ltd	Pharmaceuticals, cosm & med prod	2.44	India
Grupo Financiero Banorte SAB de CV	Banks & credit institutions	2.41	Mexico

\*Minor differences with "Statement of Investments in Securities" might occur due to rounding in production system




## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Not applicable.

**Asset allocation** describes the share of investments in specific assets.

### What was the asset allocation?



### In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Please refer to the section "Structure of the Securities Portfolio" of the relevant sub-fund of this Annual report to review the breakdown of the economic sectors where the investments were made.



## To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.


Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

**Transitional activities** are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

 are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

- **Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy<sup>1</sup>?**



Yes:



In fossil gas




In nuclear energy



No

*The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



*\*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures*

- **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?**

Not applicable.

- **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Not applicable.



**What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?**

Not applicable.



**What was the share of socially sustainable investments?**

Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



### **What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?**

Included in “#2 Other” are cash and unrated instruments for the purpose of liquidity and portfolio risk management. Unrated instruments may also include securities for which data needed for the measurement of attainment of environmental or social characteristics is not available.



### **What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?**

During the reference period, the environmental and/ or social characteristics were met by following the investment strategy and applying exclusion criteria as per the sales prospectus. The investment strategies and/ or exclusion criteria are monitored to ensure adherence.



### **How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?**

No ESG reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of determining whether the financial product is aligned with the characteristics that it promotes.

- ***How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?***  
Not applicable.
- ***How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?***  
Not applicable.
- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?***  
Not applicable.
- ***How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?***  
Not applicable.

**Reference benchmarks** are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.