means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an

Sustainable investment

environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?		
• • Yes	● ○ × No	
It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:	It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with a social objective	
It made sustainable investments with a social objective:%	X It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments	



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Invesco Emerging Market Corporate Bond Fund (the "Fund") aimed to promote environmental characteristics related to natural resource utilization and pollution (by excluding companies involved in coal extraction and production, and unconventional oil and gas such as Arctic oil and gas exploration/extraction, oil sands extraction and Shale Energy extraction). The Fund also promoted social characteristics related to human rights (by excluding companies in violation of any UN Global Compact's principles, based on third-party data and the Investment Manager's proprietary analysis and research) and by excluding issuers involved in tobacco production and tobacco related products and services, recreational cannabis, controversial weapons and manufacture of nuclear warheads or whole nuclear or whole nuclear missiles outside of the Non-Proliferation Treaty.

The environmental and social characteristics of the Fund were achieved by applying the exclusions criteria described above.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The Fund used a variety of indicators to measure the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics. This included:

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted

by the financial product

are attained.

Sustainability Indicator	Indicator Performance
UN Global Compact, excluded if non-compliant	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
International sanctions, sanctioned investments are prohibited	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Controversial weapons, excluded if 0%, including companies involved in the manufacture of nuclear warheads or whole nuclear missiles outside of the NPT.	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Coal, excluded if Thermal Coal extraction >=5% of revenue, Thermal Coal Power generation >=10% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Unconventional oil & gas, excluded if >=5% of revenue on each of the following: - Artic oil & gas exploration; - Oil sands extraction; - Shale energy extraction;	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Tobacco excluded if Tobacco Products production >=5% of revenue, Tobacco-related products and services >=5% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Recreational canabis, excluded if >=5% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.

...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not applicable.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not applicable.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Not applicable.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



Principal adverse

employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-

bribery matters.

impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment

decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Fund considered principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors (PAIs) by carrying out a qualitative and quantitative review of key metrics (primarily the 14 indicators as defined in Table 1 of the Annex I of the regulatory technical standards for Regulation 2019/2088). The quantitative review included a review of Invesco's Article 8 and 9 product holdings and the relevant PAI data. Through this initial review an absolute threshold was set that flagged issuers that failed to meet minimum standards, as well as companies that flagged on a binary output (such as controversial weapons or UN Global Compact violations). Once issuers were flagged for the quantitative review, an assessment was completed to understand if there is publicly available information from the issuer that we are aware of that can be shown to be addressing the poor performance on the flagged PAI. The ESG research team assigned the issuer a qualitative score as to how well they were addressing the poor performance. Those issuers that received the lowest qualitative scores were then identified as engagement targets

and were primarily engaged through methods such as letters, meetings, proxy voting. If no improvement was established through such corporate engagement, then the Fund could consider divesting and/or excluding investments.

The below table shows the PAI data for the Fund:

Adverse sustainability				
ndicator	PAI	Data	Metric	
	1.GHG Emissions	4,616.38	Scope 1 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	
		1,169.55	Scope 2 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	
		19,559.87	Scope 3 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	
		25.345.80	Total Financed emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3) (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	
	2. Carbon footprint	1.120.78	Fund level Carbon footprint (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3) (Per Million EUR Invested)	
	GHG Intensity of investee companies	2293.67	Fund level Total Emission Intensity-Scope 1+2+3 (Per Million EUR Revenue)	
	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	0.01	% of the fund exposed to any fossil fuels revenue	
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption	86.34	Adjusted Weighted Average of all issuers in the fund's share of non-renewable energy consumption	
	Share of non-renewable energy production	18.55	and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources	
Greenhouse gas emissions	Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	10.55	compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources (%)	
	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	0		
	Construction	0		
	Electricity, Gas, Steam & Air Conditioning Supply	1.74		
	Manufacturing	1.85		
	Mining & Quarrying	15.03	Adjusted weighted average energy consumption of issuers in the fund in GWh per million EUR of	
	Real Estate Activities	0.3	revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	
	Transportation & Storage			
	Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste Management &	1.62		
	Remediation Activities	0		
	Wholesale & Retail Trade & Repair of Motor Vehicles			
	& Motorcycles	0.08		
N-45	7. Activites negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive	3.50	Share of investments in the fund of investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those	
Biodiversity	areas 8. Emissions to water	3.68	Adjusted weighted average per issuer in the fund's emissions to water generated by investee	
Water	6. Emissions to water	0	companies per million EUR invested (Tonnes)	
rucei	Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio		Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR	
Waste		217.33	invested, expressed as a weighted average	
	10. Violations fo UN Global Compact principles and		Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC	
	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and		principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	
	Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational			
	Enterprises	2.09		
	11. Lack of proceses and compliance mechanisms to		Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC	
	monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational		principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational	
ocial and employee	Enterprises	4.94	Enterprises	
matters	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	0.00	Weighted Average of all issuers' in the portfolio unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	
	13. Board gender diversity	0.00	Waited Average of all issuers in the portfolio ratio of female to male board members in investee	
	13. Dourd gender diversity	15.19	companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	
	14. Exposure to controversial weap-ons (anti-		Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial	
			_	
	personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical		weapons	

Notes:

Although Scope 3 emissions are included in the above table showing the PAI scores for the Fund, they were not included in the quantitative review process to consider PAIs during the reporting period.

The data presented in the above table is calculated using information provided by a third-party data vendor. The accuracy, completeness, and relevance of the calculated data are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data provided by this third-party vendor. The numbers reported represent our best effort to provide the most accurate calculations in light of the data available. However, there are no warranties or representations, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, or suitability of this data for any particular purpose.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is:28 February 2023

Large Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
Invesco Liquidity Funds plc - Invesco US Dollar Liquidity Portfolio	Cash	6.22%	Ireland
Ecopetrol SA 4.625% USD 02/11/2031	Energy	1.18%	Colombia
Grupo Idesa SA de CV 9.875% USD 22/05/2026	Materials	1.08%	Mexico
China Great Wall International Holdings V Ltd 2.375% USD 18/08/2030	Financials	1.08%	United Kingdom
Huarong Finance 2019 Co Ltd 3.375% USD 24/02/2030	Financials	1.05%	United Kingdom
Geely Automobile Holdings Ltd FRN 4% USD Perpetual	Consumer Discretionary	1.02%	Cayman Islands
Transportadora de Gas del Peru SA 4.25% USD 30/04/2028	Energy	1.00%	Peru
EnfraGen Energia Sur SA / EnfraGen Spain SA / Prime Energia SpA 5.375% USD 30/12/2030	Utilities	1.00%	Spain
Bangkok Bank PCL/Hong Kong FRN 3.466% USD 23/09/2036	Financials	0.99%	Thailand
Promigas SA ESP / Gases del Pacifico SAC 3.75% USD 16/10/2029	Utilities	0.98%	Colombia
KazMunayGas National Co JSC 5.375% USD 24/04/2030	Energy	0.97%	Kazakhstan
Meituan 3.05% USD 28/10/2030	Consumer Discretionary	0.96%	Cayman Islands
AIA Group Ltd 3.2% USD 16/09/2040	Financials	0.94%	Hong Kong
CIBANCO SA Institucion de Banca Multiple Trust CIB/3332 4.375% USD 22/07/2031	Real Estate	0.94%	Mexico
Adani Green Energy Ltd 4.375% USD 08/09/2024	Utilities	0.90%	India



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The exclusions were screened to the full investment universe, representing at least 90% of the portfolio (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics) by virtue of the binding elements of the Fund's investment strategy. Ancillary liquid assets and money market instruments which were held for cash management/liquidity purposes may not have been assessed for compliance with the above exclusion framework (#2 Other).

What was the asset allocation?

99.99% of the Fund's NAV was selected according to the binding elements of the investment strategy, on the basis that they aligned with the environmental and social characteristics of the Fund.

0.01% of the Fund's NAV was invested in financial derivative instruments for hedging and/or efficient portfolio management purposes; and investment in funds not subject to the same restrictions.

All the above data is provided as of 28 February 2023.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments

in specific assets.



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives
- The sub-category #1B Other E/S characteristics covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The below table shows the GICS sector breakdown as at 28 February 2023

Sector (GICS)	Weight %
Financials	18.71
Energy	15.92
Consumer Discretionary	10.33
Materials	9.33
Utilities	8.51
Communication Services	7.71
Real Estate	6.93
Consumer Staples	5.89
Industrials	3.22
Information Technology	0.59
Health Care	0.30
Sovereign	4.62
Cash	7.93
Others/Derivatives	0.01
Total	100.00

GICS Level 4 breakdown for Energy Sector

Sub-Industry Code	Sub-Industry Name	Weight
10101010	Oil & Gas Drilling	0.00
10101020	Oil & Gas Equipment & Services	0.67
10102010	Integrated Oil & Gas	2.19
10102020	Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	7.37
10102030	Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing	1.69
10102040	Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation	4.00
10102050	Coal & Consumable Fuels	0.00
Total		15.92

To comply with the EU
Taxonomy, the criteria for
fossil gas include
limitations on emissions
and switching to fully
renewable power or lowcarbon fuels by the end of
2035. For nuclear
energy, the criteria
include comprehensive
safety and waste
management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which lowcarbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Whilst the Fund did not commit to a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with EU Taxonomy, **0.81**% (Turnover) of the Fund's portfolio was aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxono		
	Yes	
	In fossil gas In nuclear energy	
	X No	


¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.


levels corresponding to the best performance.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- capital expenditure
 (CapEx) shows the
 green investments made
 by investee companies,
 relevant for a transition
 to a green economy.
- operational
 expenditure (OpEx)
 reflects the green
 operational activities of
 investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

The below table shows the share of investments in transitional and enabling activities as at 28th February 2023.

	Aligned
Enabling	0.09%
Transition	0.00%

'Aligned' means % of revenues of the investments of the Fund that are aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.



are sustainable investments with

objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the EU Taxonomy.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

0.01% of the Fund's asset allocation was included under "Other". As described above, the Fund could hold ancillary liquid assets or money market instruments for cash management/liquidity purposes The Fund held ancillary liquid assets or money market instruments for cash management/liquidity purposes which were not assessed for compliance with the above exclusion framework. Due to the neutral nature of the assets, no minimum safeguards were in place.

Index derivatives were not assessed on a look-through basis, unless such an index had a significant allocation to prohibited activities.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The Fund achieved the environmental and social characteristics by complying with the exclusion framework, which was consistent with attaining the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

Please refer to the section "How did the sustainability indicators perform" above for further information.

In addition, as part of the Fund's consideration of principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors, the ESG team monitored the investments against PAI indicators. Invesco conducted research into certain issuers in the Fund's portfolio that were deemed to flag against PAI indicators. Please see

below examples of researched issuers during the reference period:

Holding ▼	Principal Adverse Impact	Action Taken
An APAC Consumer Discretionary Issuer	PAI 6: Energy consumption intensity	Research conducted. The issuer initially flagged on PAI 6 (Energy consumption intensity). Through additional research, Invesco has determined that the issuer has committed to address the issue and is developing a strategy.
		The issuer has set targets to improve energy consumption. By the end of 2026, total per employee energy consumption in headquarter offices will reduce by 8% compared with 2021 as a baseline year. The company also promotes renewable energy usage. In its data centres, the issuer has optimized the cooling effect through the use of high-efficiency direct natural cooling and indirect evaporative cooling. The issuer has reported on further measures to improve energy efficiency in their Annual Report, such as installing photovoltaic energy storage systems on roofs and walls to establish green energy supply and effectively reduce power usage effectiveness (PUE) levels. Additionally, the issuer has set a target to improve the energy efficiency in the headquarter offices, however the largest energy usage is in the warehouses and service centres. There are measures in place in the data centres to improve the energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy is mentioned.
An EMEA Consumer Discretionary Issuer	PAI 6: Energy consumption intensity	Research conducted. The issuer initially flagged on PAI 6 (Energy consumption intensity), Invesco conducted additional research on the issuer. Invesco has determined that the issuer has acknowledged the issue as a serious matter for a worthy response, but has not yet committed to resolving the issue.
		Although the issuer reported in its TCFD report that it is implementing carbon reduction initiatives (such as the use of energy- efficient offices and data centres, and reduction of emissions from transportation and business travel), no specific target for energy consumption is disclosed. The company does report that energy intensity reduction is a strategy priority that teams are responsible for finding ways to reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions in the workplace. However, from Invesco's research, it appears that these initiatives are mainly related to the issuer's corporate emissions rather while the largest source of energy consumption is related to its e-commerce subsidiary. The issuer has also disclosed a target to reduce its GHG emissions, but this not its energy intensity.



 $\label{thm:compared} \mbox{How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?}$

Not applicable.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.

environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure

whether the financial product attains the