

Product Name: AXA WORLD FUNDS - EVOLVING TRENDS Entity LEI: 213800XUJVRQ1XWNYI35

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

| <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: ____% | It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 77.1% of sustainable investments |
| <input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy | <input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: ____% | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with a social objective |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments |



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Financial Product has met the environmental and social characteristics promoted for the reference period by investing in companies considering their:

- Carbon intensity
- Water Intensity

The Financial Product has also promoted other specific environmental and social characteristics, mainly:

- Preservation of climate with exclusion policies on coal and oil sand activities
- Protection of ecosystem and prevention of deforestation
- Better health with exclusion on tobacco
- Labor rights, society and human rights, business ethics, anti-corruption with exclusion on companies in violation of international norms and standards such as the United Nations Global Compact Principles, International Labor Organization's (ILO) Conventions or the OECD guidelines for Multinational Enterprises AXA IM sectorial exclusions and ESG standards have been applied bindingly at all times during the reference period.

The Financial Product has not designated an ESG Benchmark to promote environmental or social characteristics.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted

by the financial product are attained.

● *How did the sustainability indicators perform?*

During the reference period, the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Financial Product has been measured with the sustainability indicators mentioned above:

The Financial Product has applied a socially responsible investment selectivity approach Best-in-class on the investment universe during the reference period. The selectivity approach has reduced the investment universe at least by 20% based on a combination of AXA IM's Sectorial Exclusion and ESG Standards policies and their ESG Score to the exception of cash held on an ancillary basis and Solidarity Assets.

In addition, the investment strategy has outperformed its benchmark or investment universe on at least two ESG Key Performance Indicator that are Carbon intensity and Water Intensity during the reference period.

During the reference period, the following minimum coverage rates have been applied with the Financial Product portfolio (expressed as a percentage of at least % of the net assets, excluding bonds and other debt securities issued by public issuers, cash held on an ancillary basis and Solidarity Assets): i) 90% for the ESG analysis, ii) 90% for the Carbon intensity indicator and iii) 70% for the Water Intensity indicator.

| Sustainability KPI Name | Value | Benchmark | Coverage |
|-------------------------|---|---|----------|
| Carbon intensity | 219.03 CO2 tons per millions \$ revenue for corporate and in CO2 Kg per PPP \$ of GDP for sovereign | 238.18 CO2 tons per millions \$ revenue for corporate and in CO2 Kg per PPP \$ of GDP for sovereign | 99.66% |
| Water intensity | 2107.87Thousands of cubic meters for corporates | 11618.89Thousands of cubic meters for corporates | 99.66% |

● *... And compared to previous periods?*

Not applicable.

● *What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objective?*

During the reference period, the Financial Product has partially invested in instruments qualifying as sustainable investments with various social and environmental objectives (without any limitation) by assessing the positive contribution of investee companies through at least one of the following dimensions:

1. **UN Sustainable Development Goals alignment (SDG)** of investee companies as reference framework, considering companies which contribute positively to at least one SDG either through the Products and Services they offer or the way they carry their activities ("Operations"). To be considered as a sustainable asset, a company must satisfy the following criteria:

a) the SDG scoring related to the "products and services" offered by the issuer is equal or above 2, corresponding to at least 20% of their revenues being derived from a sustainable activity, or

b) using a best in universe approach consisting of giving priority to the issuers best rated from a non-financial viewpoint irrespective of their sector of activity, the SDG scoring of the issuer's operations is on the better top 2.5%, except in consideration to the SDG-5 (gender equality), SDG 8 (decent work), SDG 10 (reduced inequalities), SDG 12 (Responsible Production and Consumption) and SDG 16 (peace & justice), for which the SDG scoring of the issuer's Operation is on the better top 5%. For SDG 5, 8, 10 and 16 the selectivity criteria on issuer's "Operations" is less restrictive as such SDGs are better addressed considering the way the issuer carries their activities than the Products and Services offered by the investee company. It is also less restrictive for SDG 12 which can be addressed through the Products & Services or the way the investee company carries their activities.

The quantitative SDG results are sourced from external data providers and can be overridden by a duly supported qualitative analysis performed by the Investment Manager.

2. **Integration of issuers engaged in a solid Transition Pathway** consistently with the European Commission's ambition to help fund the transition to a 1.5°C world - based on the framework developed by the Science Based Targets Initiative, considering companies which have validated Science-Based targets.

The Financial Product did not take into consideration the criteria of the EU Taxonomy environmental objectives.

● *How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?*

During the reference period, the Do No Significant Harm Principle for the sustainable investments the Financial Product made had been achieved by not investing in company meeting any of the criteria below:

- The issuer caused significant harm along any of the SDGs when one of its SDG scores is below –5 based on a quantitative database from an external provider on a scale ranging from +10 corresponding to ‘significantly contributing’ to -10 corresponding to ‘significantly obstructing’, unless the quantitative score has been qualitatively overridden.
- The issuer failed within in AXA IM’s sectorial and ESG standards ban lists, which consider among other factors the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.
- The issuer had a CCC (or 1.43) or lower ESG rating according to AXA IM ESG scoring methodology (as defined in SFDR precontractual annex).

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The Financial Product has taken into consideration Principal Adverse Impacts (“PAIs”) indicators to ensure that the sustainable investments did not harm significantly any other sustainability objectives under SFDR.

Principal adverse impacts have been mitigated through AXA IM sectorial exclusion policies and AXA IM ESG standards (as described in the SFDR precontractual annex that have been applied bindingly at all times by the Financial Product), as well as through the filters based on UN Sustainable Development Goals scoring.

Where relevant, Stewardship policies have been an additional risk mitigation on principal adverse impacts through direct dialogue with companies on sustainability and governance issues. Through the engagement activities, the Financial Product has used its influence as an investor to encourage companies to mitigate environmental and social risks relevant to their sectors as described below.

Voting at general meetings has also been an important element of the dialogue with investee companies in order to foster sustainably long-term value of the companies in which the Financial Product invests and mitigate adverse impacts as described below.

AXA IM also relies on the SDG pillar of its sustainable investment framework to monitor and take into account adverse impacts on those sustainability factors by excluding investee companies which have a SDG score under – 5 on any SDG (on a scale from + 10 corresponding to ‘significant contributing impact ’ to – 10 corresponding to ‘significant obstructing impact’), unless the quantitative score has been qualitatively overridden following a duly documented analysis by AXA IM Core ESG & Impact Research. This approach enables us to ensure investee companies with the worst adverse impacts on any SDG are not considered as sustainable investments.

Environment:

| Relevant AXA IM policies | PAI indicator | Units | Measurement |
|---|---|---|-------------|
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 1: Green House Gas (GHG) emissions (scope 1, 2, & 3 starting 01/2023) | Metric tonnes | |
| Ecosystem Protection & Deforestation policy | PAI 2: Carbon Footprint | Metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents per million euro or dollar invested (tCO2e/M€ or tCO2e/M\$) | |
| | PAI 3: GHG intensity of investee companies | Metric tonnes per eur million revenue | |
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 4: Exposure to Companies active in the fossil fuel sector | % of investments | |
| Climate Risk policy (engagement only) | PAI 5 : Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production | % of total energy sources | |
| Climate risk policy (considering an expected correlation between GHG emissions and energy consumption) ¹ | PAI 6: Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector | GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector | |
| Ecosystem Protection & Deforestation policy | PAI 7: Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas | % of investments | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| SDG no significantly negative score | PAI 8: Emissions to water | Tonnes per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average | |
| SDG no significantly negative score | PAI 9: Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio | Tonnes per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average | |

Social and Governance:

| Relevant AXA IM policies | PAI indicator | Units | Measurement |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| ESG standards policy: violation of international norms and standards | PAI 10: Violations of UN Global Compact principles & OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises | % of investments | |
| ESG standards policy: violation of international norms and standards (considering an expected correlation between companies non-compliant with international norms and standards and the lack of implementation by companies of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with those standards) ² | PAI 11: Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles & OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises | % of investments | |
| SDG no significantly negative score | PAI 12: Unadjusted gender pay gap | Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies | |
| Voting and Engagement policy with systematic voting criteria linked with board gender diversity | PAI 13: Board gender diversity | Expressed as a percentage of all board members. | |
| Controversial weapons policy | PAI 14: Exposure to controversial weapons | % of investments | |

The Financial Product is also taking into account the environmental optional indicator PAI 6 'Water usage and recycling' and the social optional indicator PAI 15 'Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies'.

Please note that, despite our commitment in the precontractual SFDR annex to publish these indicators in our periodic reporting SFDR annex, SFDR Level 2 requirements – such as the integration of PAI indicators in the investment process – only entered into force on 01/01/2023, after this report's reference period. Therefore, PAI indicators will start being disclosed in the periodic reporting SFDR annex relative to the reference period in which SFDR Level 2 requirements entered into application.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

During the reference period, the Financial Product did not invest in companies which cause, contribute or are linked to violations of international norms and standards in a material manner. Those standards focus on Human Rights, Society, Labor and Environment. AXA IM excluded any companies that have been assessed as "non compliant" to UN's Global Compact Principles, International Labor Organization's (ILO) Conventions, OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs).

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investment must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

The Financial Product took into consideration the following Principal Adverse Impact indicators applying the following exclusion policies and stewardship policies :

| Relevant AXA IM policies | PAI indicator | Units | Measurement |
|---|---|---|-------------|
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 1: Green House Gas (GHG) emissions (scope 1, 2 & 3 starting 01/2023) | Metric tonnes | |
| Ecosystem protection & Deforestation policy | | | |
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 2: Carbon Footprint | Metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents per million euro or dollar invested (tCO ₂ e/M€ or tCO ₂ e/M\$) | |
| Ecosystem protection & Deforestation policy | | | |
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 3: GHG intensity of investee companies | Metric tonnes per eur million revenue | |
| Ecosystem protection & Deforestation policy | | | |
| Climate Risk policy | PAI 4: Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector | % of investments | |
| Climate Risk policy (engagement only) | PAI 5: Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production | % of total energy sources | |
| Ecosystem protection & Deforestation policy | PAI 7: activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive area | % of investments | |
| ESG standard policy / violation of international norms and standards | PAI 10: Violation of UN global compact principles & OECD guidelines for Multinational Enterprises | % of investments | |
| Voting and Engagement policy with systematic voting criteria linked with board gender diversity | PAI 13: Board Gender diversity | Expressed as a percentage of all board members | |
| Controversial weapons policy | PAI 14: Exposure to controversial weapons | % of investments | |

Please note that, despite our commitment in the precontractual SFDR annex to publish these indicators in our periodic reporting SFDR annex, SFDR Level 2 requirements – such as the integration of PAI indicators in the investment process – only entered into force on 01/01/2023, after this report's reference period. Therefore, PAI indicators will start being disclosed in the periodic reporting SFDR annex relative to the reference period in which SFDR Level 2 requirements entered into application.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The top investments of the Financial Product are detailed below:

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is: 2022-12-30

| Top Investments | Sector | Proportion | Country |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|---------|
| ALPHABET INC-CL C XNGS USD | Web portals | 4.26% | US |
| UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC XNYS USD | Non-life insurance | 3.67% | US |
| TE CONNECTIVITY LTD XNYS USD | Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products | 2.75% | US |
| NATIONAL GRID PLC XLON GBP | Distribution of electricity | 2.66% | GB |
| NEXTERA ENERGY INC XNYS USD | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 2.64% | US |
| APPLE INC XNGS USD | Manufacture of communication equipment | 2.43% | US |
| ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS INC XNYS USD | Manufacture of medical and dental instruments and supplies | 2.38% | US |
| MICROSOFT CORP XNGS USD | Other software publishing | 2.37% | US |
| VISA INC-CLASS A SHARES XNYS USD | Other activities auxiliary to financial services, except insurance and pension funding | 2.34% | US |
| SIEMENS AG-REG XETR EUR | Manufacture of electrical equipment | 2.34% | DE |
| BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP XNYS USD | Manufacture of irradiation, electromedical and electrotherapeutic equipment | 2.29% | US |
| QUALCOMM INC XNGS USD | Manufacture of electronic components | 2.26% | US |
| FISERV INC XNGS USD | Other activities auxiliary to financial services, except insurance and pension funding | 2.25% | US |
| AIA GROUP LTD XHKG HKD | Life insurance | 2.22% | HK |
| HDFC BANK LTD-ADR XNYS USD | Other monetary intermediation | 2.2% | IN |


The portfolio proportions of investments hereabove presented were measured on 30/12/2022 and may not be representative of the reference period.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

What was the asset allocation?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.



The actual asset allocation has been reported based on the assets weighted average at the end of the reference period. Depending on the potential usage of derivatives within this product's investment strategy, the expected exposure detailed below could be subject to variability as the portfolio's NAV may be impacted by the Mark to Market of derivatives. For more details on the potential usage of derivatives by this product, please refer to its precontractual documents and its investment strategy described within.

● ***In which economic sectors were the investments made?***

Financial product's investments were made in the economic sectors detailed below:

| Top sector | Proportion |
|--|------------|
| Manufacture of medical and dental instruments and supplies | 11.12% |
| Other activities auxiliary to financial services, except insurance and pension funding | 9.21% |
| Manufacture of other special-purpose machinery n.e.c. | 6.05% |
| Other software publishing | 5.73% |
| Web portals | 4.26% |
| Collection of non-hazardous waste | 3.79% |
| Manufacture of electronic components | 3.75% |
| Non-life insurance | 3.67% |
| Manufacture of irradiation, electromedical and electrotherapeutic equipment | 3.42% |
| Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products | 2.77% |
| Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products | 2.75% |
| Distribution of electricity | 2.66% |
| Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply | 2.64% |
| Manufacture of communication equipment | 2.43% |
| Manufacture of electrical equipment | 2.34% |
| Life insurance | 2.22% |
| Other monetary intermediation | 2.2% |
| Funeral and related activities | 2.07% |
| Construction of utility projects for electricity and telecommunications | 2.05% |
| Retail sale via mail order houses or via Internet | 2.03% |
| Manufacture of other food products n.e.c. | 2.02% |
| Manufacture of instruments and appliances for measuring, testing and navigation | 1.93% |
| Other credit granting | 1.88% |
| Processing and preserving of meat | 1.86% |
| Fund management activities | 1.64% |
| Research and experimental development on biotechnology | 1.6% |
| Renting and operating of own or leased real estate | 1.59% |
| Administration of financial markets | 1.54% |

| | |
|---|-------|
| Manufacture of household and sanitary goods and of toilet requisites | 1.52% |
| Production of electricity | 1.51% |
| Manufacture of wearing apparel | 1.28% |
| Manufacture of electrical and electronic equipment for motor vehicles | 1.17% |
| Other human health activities | 1.14% |
| Waste collection, treatment and disposal activities, materials recovery | 0.92% |
| Construction of other civil engineering projects n.e.c. | 0.89% |
| Manufacture of batteries and accumulators | 0.34% |



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

● *Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy¹?*

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas ☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

The Financial Product did not take into consideration the criteria of the EU Taxonomy environmental objectives. The Financial Product did not consider the 'do not significant harm criteria' of the EU Taxonomy.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:


- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting the green operational activities of investee companies.

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*

1. Taxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds*

2. Taxonomy-alignment of investments excluding sovereign bonds*

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objectives -see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

 are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

The Financial Product did not take into consideration the criteria of the EU Taxonomy environmental objectives. The financial Product did not consider the "do not significantly harm" criteria of the EU Taxonomy.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.

What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU taxonomy?

The share of the sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy has been 41.22% for this Financial Product during the reference period.

Investee companies with an environmental sustainable objective under SFDR are contributing to support UN SDGs or transition to decarbonization based on defined criteria as described above. Those criteria applying to issuers are different from technical screening criteria defined in EU Taxonomy applying to economic activities.

What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

During the reference period, the Financial Product invested in 35.88% of sustainable investments with a social objective.

What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The remaining “Other” investments represented 5.22% of the Financial Product’s Net Asset Value.

The “other” assets may have consisted in, as defined in the precontractual annex:

- cash and cash equivalent investments being bank deposit, eligible money market instruments and money market funds used for managing the liquidity of the Financial Product, and ;
- other instruments eligible to the Financial Product and that do not meet the Environmental and/or Social criteria described in this appendix. Such assets may be equity instruments, derivatives investments and investment collective schemes that do not promote environmental or social characteristics and that are used to attain the financial objective of the Financial Product and / or for diversification and / or hedging purposes.

Environmental or social safeguards were applied and assessed on all “other” assets except on (i) non single name derivatives, (ii) on UCITS and/or UCIs managed by other management company and (iii) on cash and cash equivalent investments described above.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

In 2022, the Financial Product reinforced exclusion policies applied with new exclusions related to unconventional oil and gas, mainly (i) oil sands leading to the exclusion of companies for which oil sands represents more than 5% of global oil sands production, (ii) Shale/ Fracking excluding players that produce less than 100kboepd with more than 30% of their total production derived from fracking, and (ii) Arctic with divestment from companies deriving more than 10% of their production from Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) region or representing more than 5% of the total global Arctic production. More details on those enrichments are available under the following link: <https://www.axa-im.com/our-policies-and-reports>



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

Not applicable.