

ANNEX IV

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852















Product name: Credit Suisse (Lux) China RMB Equity Fund (until 11.12.2022)
Credit Suisse (Lux) China Advantage Equity Fund (as of 12.12.2022)

Legal entity identifier: 222100THRGTFH9XVBV90

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics**Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?**

   Yes	   No
<p> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: ____%</p> <p> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p>	<p> It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 12.05% of sustainable investments</p> <p> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p> with a social objective</p>
<p> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: ____%</p>	<p> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments</p>

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Management Company and the Investment Manager applied the Credit Suisse Asset Management (CSAM) Sustainable Investing Policy to this Subfund. This Subfund promoted the following environmental and social characteristics:

- to invest into companies that comply with international treaties on controversial weapons (norms-based exclusions on direct investments)
- not to invest into companies that derive more than 5% of their revenue from conventional weapons and firearms, tobacco production, gambling, or adult entertainment (values-based exclusions on direct investments)
- not to invest into companies that derive more than 20% of their revenue from tobacco distribution, conventional weapons support systems or coal (i.e., coal mining and coal-based electricity generation) (values-based exclusions on direct investments)
- adherence to, and conducting business activities in accordance with, international norms such as the “United Nations Global Compact Principles” (UNGC) (business-conduct exclusions on direct investments)
- higher exposure to investments that have better ESG profile and fewer ESG controversies over their investment cycle (ESG Integration)
- contribution to sustainable practices through exercise of voting rights according to the criteria for proxy voting as explained at www.credit-suisse.com/esg (Active Ownership)

Engagement at CSAM is carried out in line with our fiduciary duty. For this sub-fund the centralized Active Ownership team worked together with the Investment Manager, to best address cultural and language barriers during the engagement interactions. For the reference period from 01.01.2022-31.12.2022, the Investment Manager engaged directly with investee companies via management meetings and dialogues to discuss topics on company fundamentals and operations, corporate governance, and environmental and social factors material to the business. This included engagement interactions on topics and issues defined by the central Active Ownership team, specifically biodiversity. In addition to the engagement interactions carried out by the Investment Manager, the centralized Active Ownership team did not engage with issuers of underlying assets in this sub-fund. More information about the engagement activities and the most current active ownership report of CSAM can be found online at: www.credit-suisse.com/esg.

The extent to which the environmental and/or social characteristics were met is measured by the sustainability indicators shown below. Derivatives were not used to attain the environmental or social characteristics.

Please find further information on ESG Integration, ESG Exclusions and Active Ownership below in the question “What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?” and online at www.credit-suisse.com/esg.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The table below shows the output of the Sustainability Indicators applicable to this Subfund as of 31.12.2022. The data does not represent an average for the reporting period and is not representative of the Sustainability Indicator values at any other day of the financial year. Please note that the Sustainability Indicators were developed at the end of the reference period considering the application of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 on 01.01.2023.

More information about the data sources and applied methodologies for each Sustainability Indicator can be found online at: www.credit-suisse.com/esg.

Sustainability Indicator*	Portfolio	Indicator Output
ESG rating	AAA: 0.00% AA: 7.19% A: 9.51% BBB: 17.13% BB: 23.25% B: 33.04% CCC: 4.89% Not ratable***: 3.38% No data coverage***: 1.61%	Investment exposure by ESG rating: AAA (highest): 0-100% AA: 0-100% A: 0-100% BBB: 0-100% BB: 0-100% B: 0-100% CCC (lowest): 0-100%
Environmental pillar score	4.61	Portfolio aggregate environmental pillar score: 1 (lowest) – 10 (highest)
Social pillar score	3.86	Portfolio aggregate social pillar score: 1 (lowest) – 10 (highest)
Governance pillar score	4.30	Portfolio aggregate governance pillar score: 1 (lowest) – 10 (highest)

ESG controversy flag	Green: 76.67% Yellow: 13.16% Orange: 3.29% Red: 1.89% Not ratable***: 3.38% No data coverage***: 1.61%	Investment exposure by ESG controversy flag: Green: 0-100% Yellow: 0-100% Orange: 0-100% Red: 0-100%
CSAM ESG exclusions**	Pre- and post-trade checks are in place to detect investments that breach the ESG exclusions. Any detected breach is escalated and remedied.	This indicator reflects that the portfolio complied with the applicable ESG exclusions as described in the exclusion section of the website.

* None of the Sustainability Indicators were subject to an assurance provided by an auditor or a review by a third party.

** Note that the amount of investments that were restricted due to the ESG exclusions depend on the investable universe of the fund, depending on the relevant fund's exposure to certain sectors and markets.

*** These categories can show a negative value. This can be caused by negative committed cash positions and the use of certain types of derivatives (such as FX or OTC Collateral) due to trade settlement timing.

...and compared to previous periods?

A comparison of the portfolio's performance of the sustainability indicators compared to previous periods will be available as of the next reporting period.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

At the end of the reporting period, the sustainable investments contributed to the following environmental and/or social objective(s):

- Investments that generated at least 50% of their revenues from products and services that contributed to an environmental objective (e.g. alternative energy, carbon & energy efficiency, green building, sustainable water, pollution prevention, sustainable agriculture etc.)
- Investments that generated at least 50% of their revenues from products and services that contribute to a social objective (e.g. nutrition, disease treatments, sanitation, affordable real estate, SME finance, education, connectivity etc.)
- Investments that have an approved commitment to science-based emission targets and an average reduction in carbon emissions intensity of 7% over the last three years

The above criteria were assessed using a quantitative methodology. If there had not been an output from the quantitative assessment (e.g. in the case of missing quantitative ESG data), investments were submitted for confirmation in a case-by-case approach based on a proprietary assessment of the criteria. A central Credit Suisse Group committee approved such submissions.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

CSAM considered various indicators linked to principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors (PAI Indicators) and further indicators from its exclusion framework to assess whether sustainable investments caused significant harm to any environmental or social investment objective. Please note that CSAM developed its own DNSH ("Do no significant harm") criteria at the end of the reporting period considering the application of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 on 01.01.2023.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

At the end of the reference period, i.e. as of 31.12.2022, the PAI Indicators applied by CSAM to identify investments which qualify as SFDR Sustainable Investments in line with CS SFDR Sustainable Investment Methodology included a set of criteria and thresholds to determine if an investment passed the DNSH condition as follows:

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

Investments must not:

1. Have a significant negative contribution to climate change. This criterion makes use of an indicator that flags the biggest emitters of greenhouse gas globally and relates to PAI 1, 2, 3 and 15.
2. Be subject to norms-, value and business conduct exclusions and other investment restrictions which address PAI 4, 10, 14 and 16.
3. Be subject to severe ESG controversies which address PAI 7 and 10 where applicable.
4. Be strongly lagging its industry peers in overall ESG performance. This criterion has been applied to cover the remainder of the PAI to the extent possible.

— — *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:*

The alignment of Sustainable Investments with the 'OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises' and the 'UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights', was assessed through the CS business conduct framework as part of the CS ESG Exclusion framework.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria. The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The following table provides the exposure to all mandatory PAI applicable to the Subfund at the end of the reporting period. The Adverse Sustainability Indicator/Metric values are a snapshot as of the respective umbrella year end date. They do not represent an average for the reporting period and are not representative of the Adverse Sustainability Indicator/Metric values at any other day of the financial year. In particular, the PAI methodology was further developed at the end of the reporting period considering the application of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 on 01.01.2023. Please find further information on CSAM PAI Framework online at www.credit-suisse.com/esg.

Adverse Sustainability Indicator / Metric	Impact *	Eligible Assets (%) **	Data Coverage (%) ***
Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies			
CLIMATE AND OTHER ENVIRONMENT-RELATED INDICATORS			
GHG Emissions			
1. GHG emissions Scope 1 (in metric tons)	2'879.73	96.62	95.01
1. GHG emissions Scope 2 (in metric tons)	1'973.82	96.62	95.01
1. GHG emissions Scope 3 (in metric tons)	16'650.59	96.62	95.01
1. GHG emissions Total (in metric tons)	19'528.80	96.62	95.01
2. Carbon footprint (Scope 1, 2 and 3 in metric tons per EUR million invested)	219.16	96.62	95.01
3. GHG intensity of investee companies (Scope 1, 2, and 3 in metric tons per EUR million revenue)	734.95	96.62	94.25
4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (in percent)	2.28	96.62	95.01
5. Share of nonrenewable energy consumption and production (in percent)	24.39	96.62	29.66
6.A. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE A (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.01	96.62	39.95
6.B. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE B (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
6.C. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE C (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.79	96.62	39.95

6.D. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE D (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
6.E. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE E (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
6.F. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE F (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
6.G. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE G (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
6.H. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE H (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.06	96.62	39.95
6.L. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector - NACE L (in GWh per EUR million revenue) ****	0.00	96.62	39.95
Biodiversity			
7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas (in percent)	0.00	96.62	95.01
Water			
8. Emissions to water (in metric tons)	0.14	96.62	31.35
Waste			
9. Hazardous waste ratio (in metric tons)	4.76	96.62	27.04
SOCIAL AND EMPLOYEE, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ANTI-CORRUPTION AND ANTI-BRIBERY MATTERS			
Social and employee matters			
10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (in percent)	1.89	96.62	95.01
11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (in percent)	85.05	96.62	92.15
12. Unadjusted gender pay gap (in percent of male gross earnings)	0.00	96.62	0.00
13. Board gender diversity (in percent of female board members)	14.42	96.62	95.01
14. Exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (in percent)	0.00	96.62	95.01
Indicators applicable to investments in sovereigns and supranationals			
Environmental			
15. GHG intensity (Scope 1, 2, and 3 in metric tons per EUR million GDP)	n/a	0.00	0.00
Social			
16. Investee countries subject to social violations (absolute)	n/a	0.00	0.00
16. Investee countries subject to social violations (relative)	n/a	0.00	0.00
Indicators applicable to investments in real estate assets			
Fossil fuels			
17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets (in percent)	n/a	0.00	0.00
Energy efficiency			
18. Exposure to energy-inefficient real estate assets (in percent)	n/a	0.00	0.00

* Impact: The value represents non-normalized portfolio level exposure to a given PAI indicator. This means that the PAI values use the actual weights of portfolio exposures.

** Eligible Assets: Percentage of AUM of the entire portfolio (including cash) for which the PAI is applicable. As an example, government bonds held by the fund cannot be measured against PAI indicators applicable to an investee company.

*** Data coverage: The percentage of AUM of the entire portfolio for which PAI indicator data is available.

**** The eligible assets and hence the coverage of the PAI 6 are limited to the 9 high impact climate sectors defined by SFDR. A low coverage therefore does not necessarily correspond to missing energy consumption intensity data to measure the PAI, but rather the investments in the portfolio not being part of the high impact climate sectors.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is: 31.12.2022


Largest investments*	NACE Sector	% Assets	Country
KWEICHOW MOUTAI LTD A	C. Manufacturing	5.50	China
CONTEMPORARY AMPEREX TECHNOLOGY LT	C. Manufacturing	4.02	China
SHENZHEN MINDRAY BIO-MEDICAL ELECT	C. Manufacturing	3.77	China
CHINA MERCHANTS BANK LTD A	K. Financial and insurance activities	3.41	China
EAST MONEY INFORMATION LTD A	K. Financial and insurance activities	3.09	China
CHINA TOURISM GROUP DUTY FREE CORP	G. Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	3.01	China
WUXI APPTec LTD A	M. Professional, scientific and technical activities	2.91	China
BYD LTD A	C. Manufacturing	2.58	China
CHINA YANGTZE POWER LTD A	D. Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	2.41	China
BANK OF HANGZHOU LTD A	K. Financial and insurance activities	2.39	China
LUZHOU LAO JIAO LTD A	C. Manufacturing	2.32	China
CHINA STATE CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERI	F. Construction	2.29	China
XIAMEN FARATRONIC LTD A	C. Manufacturing	2.24	China
POLY DEVELOPMENTS AND HOLDINGS GRO	F. Construction	2.24	China
BANK OF CHENGDU LTD A	K. Financial and insurance activities	2.20	China

* Look-through enabled where possible, excl. cash and derivatives. Portfolio Exposure as of 31.12.2022. It does not represent an average for the reporting period and is not representative of the Portfolio Exposure at any other day of the financial year.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

What was the asset allocation?



Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which were neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor qualified as sustainable investments. Please refer to the section "What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?" for further information.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covered:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covered sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives.
- The sub-category #1B Other E/S characteristics covered investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that did not qualify as sustainable investments.

The proportion of investments used to meet the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this Subfund (category #1 above) was 95.01% of its total net assets. Within this category the Subfund held a proportion of 12.05% of its total net assets in sustainable investments (category #1A above). Those data are valid as of 31.12.2022. They do not represent an average for the reporting period and are not representative of the asset allocation at any other day of the financial year.

● In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Fund Sectoral Exposure

NACE Sector Code *	Portfolio Exposure **
A. Agriculture, forestry and fishing	1.94%
C. Manufacturing	54.54%
D. Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	3.51%
F. Construction	4.53%
G. Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	6.35%
H. Transportation and storage	1.26%
J. Information and communication	3.14%
K. Financial and insurance activities	16.78%
L. Real estate activities	0.54%
M. Professional, scientific and technical activities	3.67%
Q. Human health and social work activities	0.35%
Other	3.38%

* Please note that the NACE sector allocation is not used in the Subfund's investment strategy. It is provided for disclosure purposes only. For example, the Subfund's investment strategy makes use of company's revenue exposure in accordance with CSAM's ESG Exclusion framework and does not exclude companies based on their NACE sector allocation.

** Portfolio Exposure as of 31.12.2022. It does not represent an average for the reporting period and is not representative of the Portfolio Exposure at any other day of the financial year. The "Other" category can show a negative value. This can be caused by negative committed cash positions and the use of certain types of derivatives (such as FX or OTC Collateral) due to trade settlement timing.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflects the "greenness" of investee companies today.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) shows the green investments made by investee companies, relevant for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.

Fund Exposure to Fossil Fuels Sub-Sectors

NACE Sector Code *	NACE name	Portfolio Exposure **
B5.1.0	Mining of hard coal	0.00%
B5.2.0	Mining of lignite	0.00%
B6.1.0	Extraction of crude petroleum	0.00%
B6.2.0	Extraction of natural gas	0.00%
B9.1.0	Support activities for petroleum and natural gas extraction	0.00%
C19.2.0	Manufacture of refined petroleum products	0.00%
D35.2.1	Manufacture of gas	0.00%
D35.2.2	Distribution of gaseous fuels through mains	0.00%
D35.2.3	Trade of gas through mains	0.00%
G46.7.1	Wholesale of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels and related products	0.00%

* Please note that the NACE sector allocation is not used in the Subfund's investment strategy. It is provided for disclosure purposes only. For example, the Subfund's investment strategy makes use of company's revenue exposure in accordance with CSAM's ESG Exclusion framework and does not exclude companies based on their NACE sector allocation.


** Portfolio Exposure as of 31.12.2022. It does not represent an average for the reporting period and is not representative of the Portfolio Exposure at any other day of the financial year.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As of 31.12.2022, 0.00% of the Subfund's investments were made into sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



**For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures*

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The Subfund's reported EU Taxonomy alignment was not subject to assurance or review by a third party.

● Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas

☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

● What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

Of the 0.00% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy as of 31.12.2022, 0.00% were made in transitional activities and 0.00% in enabling activities.

● How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

A comparison of the portfolio's investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compared to previous periods will be available as of the next reporting period.



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As of 31.12.2022, 10.50% of the Subfund's investments were made into sustainable investments with an environmental objective that was not aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

Investments may have fallen under "sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy" if insufficient company data on taxonomy alignment was available (e.g., companies did not report on their Taxonomy alignment as they were outside of the scope of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive, the EU Taxonomy did not cover a specific environmental objective or a specific industry).



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

As of 31.12.2022, 1.55% of the Subfund's investments were made into socially sustainable investments.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

As of 31.12.2022, 4.99% of the Subfund's investments were made into "other".

Investments such as cash, derivatives and structured products may have fallen under "other" since such instruments did not contribute to the E/S characteristics of this Subfund. More information about the portfolio exposure to such investments can be found in the financial section of this annual report. Such investments did not have minimum environmental or social safeguards. They may have been used as efficient portfolio management tools, for cash management, for hedging purposes, or as an additional source of return.

Additionally, investments may have fallen under "other" if insufficient ESG-related information was available. This applied in particular to asset classes for which ESG Factors were insufficiently defined or insufficient ESG related information was available. Where possible, minimum environmental or social safeguards have been applied to these underlying securities by ensuring that CSAM ESG exclusions were adhered to.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

To attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this Subfund, this Subfund applied ESG Exclusions, ESG Integration and Active Ownership.

This Subfund excluded investments in companies of the following three categories:

- Norms-based Exclusions

This Subfund excluded companies that failed to comply with international treaties on controversial weapons such as the Convention on Cluster Munitions, the Chemical Weapons Convention, the Biological Weapons Convention, the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT). In addition, it excluded companies that are recommended for exclusions by the Swiss Association for Responsible Investments (SVVK-ASIR) in respect to APM (anti-personnel mines), cluster munitions and nuclear weapons (outside of NPT).

- Values-based Exclusions

This Subfund excluded companies that derived more than 5% of their revenue from conventional weapons and firearms, tobacco production, gambling, or adult entertainment. It excluded companies that derived more than 20% of their revenue from tobacco distribution and conventional weapons support systems and services. In addition, a revenue limit of 20% applied to investments in coal (coal mining and coal-based electricity generation).

- Business-conduct Exclusions

Companies found to systematically violate international norms, where the breaches were particularly severe, or where management was not open to implement necessary reforms, were placed on a watch list, and may have been excluded from the Credit Suisse-wide investment universe. This process was governed by a dedicated committee that maintained the list of excluded companies and was responsible for ensuring that the list is communicated to investment teams in a timely manner.

ESG Factors were integrated into the investment process in the following four main steps:

- Identification of material ESG Factors

First, the Investment Manager used materiality frameworks to identify those ESG Factors that are relevant to the investment strategy. Materiality frameworks are concepts that help to identify sustainability-related issues and opportunities that are likely to affect the financial condition or operating performance of companies within an industry. Material factors have been furthermore assessed based on the Subfund's sector and geographical exposure. Second, the Investment Manager prioritized the material factors that were most relevant to the subsequent investment decisions based on the portfolio's current market exposure.

- ESG security analysis

Based on the identified material ESG Factors, the Investment Manager performed security research across the Subfund's investment universe. The Investment Manager made use of ESG ratings from third-party service providers and combined them with CSAM's proprietary analyses and information. These included, e.g. ESG related news, ESG ratings and scores, ESG-related controversies, and ESG trends. The outcome of the ESG analysis of individual securities, combined with financial research, enabled the Investment Managers to make ESG-adjusted risk/return assessments. The Investment Manager was able to compare securities on an ESG-adjusted basis and to evaluate whether to keep certain securities in the portfolio or to sell them during the security selection and portfolio implementation stage. The ESG security analysis has been updated periodically.

- Security selection and portfolio implementation

Based on the identified material ESG Factors and the ESG-adjusted security analysis, the Investment Manager constructed a portfolio in accordance with the Subfund's investment process and principles. The ESG-adjusted security analysis combined with portfolio construction considerations has been used to determine appropriate portfolio weights that reflected the ESG-adjusted risk/return profile of the analyzed securities.

- Portfolio monitoring

The Investment Manager monitored the ESG Factors periodically to detect significant changes in the ESG Factors of the underlying securities. They regularly reassessed the portfolio, taking into consideration financial and ESG metrics, and consequently decided whether to increase or decrease positions in the portfolio.

- Engagement

For the reference period from 01.01.2022-31.12.2022, the Investment Manager engaged directly with investee companies via management meetings and dialogues to discuss topics on company fundamentals and operations, corporate governance, and environmental and social factors material to the business. This included engagement interactions on topics and issues defined by the central Active Ownership team, specifically biodiversity. In addition to the engagement interactions carried out by the Investment Manager, the centralized Active Ownership team did not engage with issuers of underlying assets in this sub-fund.

- Proxy Voting

Voting rights have been exercised for investee companies which have met the criteria for proxy voting as explained at www.credit-suisse.com/esg.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

The Subfund did not use a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the environmental and/or social characteristics.

- **How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?**

Not applicable

- **How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?**

Not applicable

- **How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?**

Not applicable

- **How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?**

Not applicable

Reference

benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

MSCI Disclaimer

Certain information ©2023 MSCI ESG Research LLC. Reproduced by permission. Although Credit Suisse Group AG and/or its affiliates information providers, including without limitation, MSCI ESG Research LLC and its affiliates (the "ESG Parties"), obtain information from sources they consider reliable, none of the ESG Parties warrants or guarantees the originality, accuracy and/or completeness, of any data herein and expressly disclaim all express or implied warranties, including those of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. None of the ESG Parties shall have any liability for any errors or omissions in connection with any data herein, or any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

NACE Source

Eurostat

ESG Notes

The figures contained in this section are not audited. Where Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") related information, statements and scorings are made in this report, Credit Suisse Group AG and/or its affiliates (hereafter "CS") may have, partially or entirely, relied on publicly available information, internally developed data and/or information obtained from other third-party sources which CS believes to be reliable. CS' ability to verify such objectives may be limited by the reliability of the data available in respect of the underlying assets and the status of the regulations in relation to tracking and providing such data. CS has not independently verified any information obtained from these public and third-party sources and cannot make any representations or warranties as to its accuracy, completeness, or reliability. It is possible that the data from ESG data providers may be incorrect, unavailable, or not fully updated. This is especially true where CS has limited access to data from external parties regarding the underlying elements of an investment, for example due to a lack of look-through data. Such data gaps could result in an incorrect assessment of a sustainability practice and/or related sustainability risks and opportunities. CS cannot make any guarantee about the content or completeness of such ESG disclosures, which remain subject to change and cannot be relied upon. In particular, CS shall not be liable for any errors or omissions in connection with any ESG data herein, or for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits).

In the absence of a standardized, industry wide ESG classification system, CS has developed its own ESG framework (the "CS Sustainable Investment Framework"). Therefore, investors should note that the ESG classification of the Subfunds reflect CS' opinion and is based on the CS Sustainable Investment Framework which classification may vary from the classification made by third parties. The fact that a Subfund follows the CS Sustainable Investment Framework does not necessarily mean that it meets the investors overall investment objectives, nor their sustainability preferences. Given that sustainability regulation and guidelines are still developing, CS may need to review certain ESG classifications/descriptions as industry practices change and as more regulatory guidance becomes available. The ESG-performance of a portfolio might also differ from its financial performance and CS cannot make any representation as to the correlation between financial and ESG performance.

Sustainability-related practices also differ by region, industry and ESG issue and are continually evolving. Consequently, sustainability-related practices may change over time. Similarly, new local sustainability requirements may result in additional compliance costs, disclosure obligations or other restrictions on the Subfunds. CS' view on the appropriate classification may also evolve over time, including in response to regulatory guidance or changes in industry approach to classification. A change to the relevant classification may require further actions to be taken, e.g. further disclosures or new processes to capture data which may lead to additional costs.